

07/12/00



US PTO

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → (+)

07-13-00

 PTO/SB/05 (4/98)
 Approved for use through 09/30/2000 OMB 065-0002
 Patent and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 Patent and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION

TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No.	8168
First Inventor or Application Identifier	Tepper
Title	Method to Enhance and/or Prolong the Effects of a Primary Challenge to a Responsive System with Secondary Challenge
Express Mail Label No.	EK250616018US

 07/12/00
 6969
 09/06

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP Chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

 ADDRESS TO: Commissioner for Patents
 Box Patent Application
 Washington, D.C. 20231

- ☒ [X] * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)
(Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)
- ☒ [X] Specification Total Pages [30]
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
 - Descriptive Title of the Invention
 - Cross References to Related Applications
 - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R&D
 - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
 - Background of the Invention
 - Brief Summary of the Invention
 - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
 - Detailed Description
 - Claim(s)
 - Abstract of the Disclosure
- ☐ [] Drawing(s) (35 USC 113) Total Sheets []
- Oath or Declaration Total pages []
 - ☐ [] Newly executed (original or copy)
 - ☐ [] Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
- ☐ [] **DELETION OF INVENTORS**
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR §§1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

- ☐ [] Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
- Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)
 - ☐ [] Computer Readable copy
 - ☐ [] Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
 - ☐ [] Statement verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

- ☐ [] Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
- ☐ [] 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement of Power of Attorney (when there is an assignee)
- ☐ [] English Translation Document (if applicable)
- ☐ [] Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 Citations
- ☐ [] Preliminary Amendment
- ☐ [] Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)
(Should be specifically itemized)
- ☐ [] *Small Entity Statement filed in prior application Status still proper and desired
- ☐ [] Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
(if foreign priority is claimed)
- ☐ [] Other:

* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37, C.F.R. §1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIORITY APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. §1.28).

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information below and in the preliminary amendment:

☐ [] Continuation ☐ [] Divisional ☐ [] Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No. 1

Prior application information: Examiner: _____ Group/Art Unit: _____
 For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ [] Customer Number or Bar Code Label

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

or ☐ [] Correspondence address below

NAME	Kirsten K. Stone The Procter & Gamble Company		
ADDRESS	Patent Division Sharon Woods Technical Center 11450 Grooms Road		
CITY	Cincinnati	STATE	Ohio
COUNTRY	U.S.A.	TELEPHONE	(513) 626-1159
		ZIP CODE	45242
		FAX	(513) 626-3499

Name (Print/Type)	Kirsten K. Stone	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	42,129
Signature	<i>Kirsten K. Stone</i>	Date	July 12, 2000

+

Briefing Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.5 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, D.C. 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, D.C. 20231.

METHOD TO ENHANCE AND/OR PROLONG THE EFFECTS
OF A PRIMARY CHALLENGE TO A RESPONSIVE SYSTEM
WITH A SECONDARY CHALLENGE.

Bruce Ernest Tepper

Susan NMN Baldwin

Scott Edward Osborne

Mauricio NMN Odio

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to test methods that can be used for clinical and non-clinical
10 fundamental research and/or other studies to determine the consequences of a challenge to a
responsive system, such as to determine the efficacy of an action, substance and/or product to
prevent, induce, reduce or heal disease or injury to a responsive system. By using germane
challenges to the specific responsive systems, the present invention of using a secondary
challenge to enhance and/or prolong the effects of a primary challenge is amenable for use with
15 challenges to multi-cellular and unicellular organisms such as animals, plant, fungi, bacteria, and
the like, to organs of multi-cellular organisms such as epidermis, liver, heart and the like, and to
tissues or individual cells or cell cultures such as isolated cells from multi-cellular organisms
such as sperm, eggs, white blood cells, neurons, and the like or cultures of such cells. One
embodiment of the present invention enhances and/or prolongs the effects of a primary challenge
20 to human epidermis by using a different secondary challenge.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Studies on the effects of a challenge (insult) to provoke a human physiological response
are needed to understand the etiology of disease and to develop both preventative measures and
efficacious treatments. For example, studying the effects of stool on the etiology of diaper rash is
25 needed to develop and/or determine efficacy of products, medications and/or processes that
effectively prevent and/or treat diaper rash, which continues to routinely occur among many
diapered children. Despite the many studies done to date, the etiology of diaper rash and the
mechanisms of various diaper rash preventative and curative agents is incompletely understood.
In general, this can be said for most dermatological diseases, as well as many common diseases
30 of other tissues, organs and organisms.

Clinical and non-clinical scientific studies of biological responses, such as of diaper rash
and many other dermatological responses, are hindered when current methods and/or devices
cannot detect weak responses and/or distinguish among multiple causes for an observed response
(i.e., disentangle or interpret a confounded response). Such studies are further hindered when the

response being studied, such as diaper rash, occurs with relatively low and unpredictable frequency among the general population.

A common procedure to enhance a weak or inconsistent response is to damage or prime the responsive system prior to challenging it, but the enhanced response is often confounded. For example, the scarification test (Frosch and Kligman, 1976, *Contact-Dermatitis* 2: 314-242) has long been used to study irritant responses by first physically scarring skin with a needle prior to challenging that damaged skin with substances suspected to have mild irritancy or a weak response. Studies of allergenic and sensitization responses of human skin have long applied this approach by first priming the responsive system with repeated challenges, often over several weeks, to study effects of a second challenge of the same or different type (e.g., Kaidbey and Kligman, 1980, *Contact Dermatitis* 6:161-169).

There are two concerns with first damaging or priming a responsive system to study responses of that system. First, the damage or modification to the responsive system can cause the responsive system to respond to a challenge by a different mechanism than would the same naïve (undamaged and/or unmodified) responsive system. Second, the degree or severity of the response to a second challenge by a responsive system that has already been damaged or modified can be completely erroneous compared to a naïve responsive system. For example, a second challenge to already damaged skin could result in a severe response when the response by naïve skin would have been nil. Moreover, when the subjects are infants there is heightened justifiable concern anytime a severe response is observed and researchers would rather avoid inducing severe responses by any available means.

A common procedure to minimize confounding of a response, particularly when trying to study mechanisms of disease progression and/or the effects of interventions on the disease or on an injury, is to control the challenge, such as by using direct patching methods in dermatology studies. Such methods, however, are often inadequate when the response occurs at low frequency in the study population and/or the tools used to assess the response are relatively insensitive. To overcome these problems, a direct patching method is often used to study dermatological responses. However, these methods, when they work, typically require lengthy and/or repeated exposures that can be excessive and/or irrelevant to the normal course of events. In addition, many researchers and/or subjects find current patching methods sufficiently inconvenient and costly in time and resources that development of abbreviated methods is desirable.

The present invention is a novel approach to study physiological responses, particularly where enhancing and/or prolonging a response to a challenge without changing the inherent

nature of the response is desirable. The methods are useful when the response of interest needs to be studied under both highly controlled and poorly-controlled challenge scenarios. In addition, the present invention reduces the challenge duration needed to provoke an enhanced and/or prolonged response. A specific embodiment of the present invention accomplishes this by enhancing and/or prolonging the effects of the primary challenge (stool) to human epidermis by using a different secondary challenge (tape-stripping) to elicit enhanced and durable erythemic and increased transepidermal water loss (TEWL) responses. In addition, the response to the initial challenge typically corresponds closely to what normally occurs with subjects during their normal lives because the exposure duration is relevant to the common experience of the subjects. Moreover, the primary challenge is applied to healthy, non-damaged skin so that the response is not confounded with the skin's responses to any other pre-damage challenge.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a test method to enhance and/or prolong the response of a primary challenge to a responsive system, so that the primary challenge can be better studied, by using a different secondary challenge that does not alter the mechanism by which the primary challenge affects the responsive system nor does it confound the response of the primary challenge. Further, the present invention typically: 1) reduces the exposure duration needed for the primary challenge to induce a response to one that is more relevant to normal exposure scenario(s) for the responsive system or subject, 2) reduces the number of subjects needed to observe statistically significant and/or clinically relevant effects, 3) is more convenient for the researchers, and 4) is minimally stressful to the responsive system or subjects. In addition, the primary and/or secondary challenge may be preceded by one or more interventions in studies designed to understand the etiology of the disease and/or determine the efficacy of a preventative measure. In addition, the secondary challenge may be preceded by and/or followed by one or more interventions in studies designed to determine the efficacy of a curative measure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As used herein, "**study**" refers to either clinical or non-clinical research with specified testable hypotheses. As used herein, "**subject**" refers to a human, lower animal species, or other specified organism participating in a study. As used herein, "**responsive system**" refers to any organism, organ, tissue, mass of cells, or individual cell(s) for which a change in activity, appearance, function, structure, or other condition can be provoked or induced. As used herein, "**challenge**" refers to the entity and/or process of provoking, moderating or otherwise influencing a physiological (including biochemical) activity by exposure under defined

conditions to one or more physical, chemical or biological conditions or actions. As used herein, "test site" refers to any physical location on the responsive system, entity or organism that is monitored during the course of a study. As used herein, "primary challenge" refers to the first challenge applied to one or more test sites, that elicits one or more response(s) (which may or may not be detectable until after the secondary challenge). The primary challenge is the main challenge of interest in the study. There may be more than one component to primary challenge (e.g., there may be a chemical challenge and a physical challenge or there may be two successive identical or different chemical challenges) wherein all components of the primary challenge precede the secondary challenge. As used herein, "secondary challenge" refers to a challenge applied after the primary challenge to one or more test sites designed or intended to enhance and/or prolong the response of the primary challenge. Importantly, a secondary challenge does not confound the response of the primary challenge nor does it alter the mechanism by which the primary challenge elicits a response. As used herein, "confound" means to confuse the meaning or interpretation of an observation even in the presence of controls. As used herein, "enhances" refers to increasing the magnitude or intensity of an entity or event, such as increasing the color intensity or area affected during a dermatological response to a challenge. As used herein, "prolongs" refers to increasing the duration of an event. As used herein, "recovery period" refers to a defined period of time during which the responsive system has opportunity to overcome the effects of a challenge. As used herein, "intervention" refers to any process, act, condition, substance and/or entity attempting to affect the outcome of a challenge, such as to prevent, reverse, and/or attenuate the response of a responsive system to a primary challenge. As used herein, "pre-challenge intervention" refers to any process of exposing a test site and/or control site to one or more physical, chemical or biological conditions prior to exposure to at least one primary challenge. Thus, where there are a series of primary challenges, a pre-challenge intervention can occur anytime so long as it precedes one or more of the primary challenges. As used herein, "post-challenge intervention" refers to any process of exposing a test site and/or control site to one or more physical, chemical or biological conditions subsequent to exposure to any primary challenges. The "post-challenge intervention" can precede one or more secondary challenges, occur between/among one or more secondary challenges, or can follow one or more secondary challenges. As used herein, "concurrent-challenge intervention" refers to any process of exposing a test site and/or control site to one or more physical, chemical or biological conditions during one, or more, of the primary challenge(s). As used herein, "response" refers to any detectable condition or change in condition of a responsive system. For

example, a change in visual rash severity or TEWL before and after a challenge is a measure of response to that challenge. The method of detection includes but is not limited to methods utilizing human skills only and/or chemical, physical, mechanical, and/or electrical methods and equipment. As used herein, **"assessing"** refers to any method of evaluating, observing, noting, and/or comparing the condition (e.g., response) of a test site. As used herein, **"patch test grade"** refers to a visible skin response scored using a standardized scale (see table 17, page 99 in McNamara, 1976, in "New Concepts in Safety Evaluation", a modification of which is incorporated herein with detailed text). As used herein, **"diaper rash"** refers to a response indicated by the occurrence on diapered epidermis of what is clinically defined as either erythema or dermatitis, or both, where persistent redness, dryness, pustules and/or other symptoms associated with such conditions may arise. As used herein, **"rash"** refers to a response indicated by the occurrence on epidermis of what is clinically defined as erythema, dermatitis, psoriasis, or any of several other skin conditions where persistent redness, dryness, papules, pustules and/or other symptoms associated with such conditions may arise. As used herein, **"TEWL"** refers to trans-epidermal water loss, which is a measure of water movement across and out of the epidermis (skin). TEWL is related to the integrity of skin barrier function when measured under standardized conditions of temperature and humidity after the subject has been sufficiently equilibrated to those standardized conditions. As used herein, **"stool"** refers to feces from human intestines. As used herein, **"stool analog"** refers to blends of irritative components commonly found in stool including, but not limited to, SHIME, enzymes, bile acids, candida species and mixtures thereof. As used herein, **"SHIME"** (Simulation for the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecology) refers to a biologically-simulated human fecal material created in laboratory digestion chambers having a bacterial consortia and both physical and chemical conditions representative of the various segments of the human intestinal tract. SHIME is designed to have representative characteristics of human feces from healthy adults or infants fed a varied diet, but lacks any pathogenic microbes to avoid the spread of disease and lacks any substantive amount of bulking or solidifying components, like cellulose. SHIME is further described in the following two references which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety: 1. Molly, K., et. al., 1993. Development of a 5-step multi-chamber reactor as a simulation of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 39:254-263; and 2. De Boever, P., et. al., 200X (in review). Development of a six-stage culture system for simulating the gastrointestinal microbiota of infants. Microb. Ecol. Health Dis. As used herein, **"tape-stripping"** refers to the act of applying a material of known adhesive properties to skin in a

prescribed manner and removing that material for the purposes of subjecting the skin to a physical challenge. Materials of known adhesive properties useful for tape-stripping, which need not specifically be in a tape format, include adhesive tapes such as D-squame® and Sebutape® (CuDerm Corporation, Dallas, TX) or Blenderm™ and ScotchTape™ (3M Company, St. Paul, MN), and hydrogels such as Hypan® (Hymedix International, Inc., Dayton, NJ), and other types of materials with adhesive properties such as glues, gums, and resins. As used herein, **"control site"** or **"control"** refers to any test site and/or study treatment used to establish a standard of comparison for judging experimental effects and/or the degree of variation in effects, such as those associated with experimental noise, that occur during a study. As used herein, **"challenge(d) site"** refers to any test site that receives a primary and subsequent secondary challenge. As used herein, **"negative control"** refers to any control site that is treated identically to a challenged site except it receives neither a primary nor a secondary challenge. For instance, where a responsive system is first cleansed with water and then subjected to a primary and secondary challenge at a given temperature, the control site would also be cleansed with water and would be subject to the same given temperature, but would not be subject to the primary nor secondary challenge. As used herein, **"primary control"** refers to a control site that receives the primary challenge, but no secondary challenge. A primary control may or may not receive a concurrent-challenge intervention, pre-challenge intervention, or post-challenge intervention. As used herein, **"secondary control"** refers to a control site that receives the secondary challenge, but no primary challenge. Where beneficial to a study design, a secondary control may receive a post-challenge intervention, even though it has not received a primary challenge. As used herein, **"positive control"** refers to a control site that receives a substitute primary challenge known to induce a defined response with or without the secondary challenge. All percentages, ratios, and parts herein, in the Specification, Examples, and Claims are by weight unless otherwise stated. The term **"m²"**, as used herein, means square meters. The term **"cm²"**, as used herein, means square centimeters. The term **"cm"**, as used herein, means centimeters. The term **"g"**, as used herein, means gram. The term **"ml"**, as used herein, means milliliter. The term **"hr"**, as used herein, means hour. The term **"RH"**, as used herein, means relative humidity. The term **"psi"**, as used herein, means pounds per square inch. The term **"wt"**, as used herein, means weight. As used herein, the term **"comprising"** means that the various components, ingredients, or steps, can be conjointly employed in practicing the present invention. Accordingly, the term **"comprising"** encompasses the more restrictive terms **"consisting essentially of"** and **"consisting of."**

A. General Method

The test method of the present invention enhances and/or prolongs one or more of the effects of a primary challenge to a responsive system. The method enables the primary challenge to be better studied by using a different secondary challenge that does not alter the mechanism by which the primary challenge affects the responsive system nor does it confound results of the primary challenge with other spurious responses.

More specifically, the method generally comprises assessing a test site of the responsive system in its pre-challenge condition; subjecting the test site to a primary challenge; preferably but not necessarily, assessing the test site for a response; subjecting the same test site to a secondary challenge, wherein the secondary challenge is designed to enhance and/or prolong a response of the responsive system to the primary challenge, without confounding the response nor altering the mechanism by which the primary challenge elicits a response; and assessing the response subsequent to the secondary challenge. Preferably, the response is assessed at least twice following the secondary challenge, wherein the first assessment is made soon after the secondary challenge, and the second assessment is made after a recovery period. The primary and secondary challenges of the present invention can comprise physical challenges, chemical challenges, and/or biological challenges. The primary and secondary challenges may comprise multiple or complex components (for example, a primary challenge comprising a poorly-defined extract or comprising chemicals A and B dissolved in a buffered matrix and heated to a specific temperature) and/or steps (for example, a primary challenge comprising a first chemical challenge concurrently with or followed shortly thereafter by a second chemical challenge (same or different from the first) to the same test site). However, preferably, but not necessarily, the primary and secondary challenges are single steps (or challenges) in the process. The methods claimed herein also preferably comprise the additional step(s) of subjecting test site(s) to one or more pre-challenge intervention(s), post-challenge intervention(s), and/or concurrent-challenge intervention(s). The introduction of these interventions is useful for determining the ability of the intervention to prevent, cure, heal, and/or reduce disease or injury to a responsive system.

The methods of the present invention typically reduces the exposure duration needed for the primary challenge to induce a response to one that is relevant to normal exposure scenario(s) for the responsive system or subject, and is both minimally stressful to the responsive system and/or subjects and convenient for researchers. As a result, the present invention often significantly shortens the duration of and/or number of subjects needed for studies. In addition, it

may enhance a low-level response that can be more easily assessed with current measurement techniques.

Thus, the methods of the present invention are also useful in a study design, also claimed herein, wherein the study comprises the steps of the method as outlined above in addition to comprising the steps of creating one or more controls selected from the group consisting of negative controls, primary controls, secondary controls, positive controls, and mixtures thereof. The studies and/or methods of the present invention are preferably designed to understand of the etiology of a disease and/or the ability of an intervention or challenge to prevent, cure, heal, and/or reduce and/or induce damage or injury to a responsive system.

10 B. Responsive Systems and/or Subjects

The responsive systems and/or subjects utilized in the methods of the present invention include but are not limited to: multi-cellular and unicellular organisms such as humans, animals, plants, fungi, bacteria, and the like; organs of multicellular organisms such as epidermis, liver, heart and the like; and to tissues or individual cells or cell cultures such as those isolated cells
15 from multi-cellular organisms including but not limited to sperm, eggs, white blood cells, neurons, stoma, and the like.

C. Challenges

The primary and secondary challenges used in the method defined above can be of a physical, chemical, or biological nature. Some examples of physical challenges include, but are not limited to: heat, cold, humidity, desiccation, ultraviolet or other forms of radiant energy, dark, friction, abrasion, lubrication, electric or magnetic energy, pressure, vacuum, visual images, occlusion, and sound. Some examples of chemical challenges are any element of the periodic table or non-living compositions of those elements including, but not limited to: organic and inorganic acids and bases or conditions caused by their presence in aqueous systems, surfactants,
20 metal and complexes thereof, salts, proteins, lipids, vitamins, polymers, pharmaceuticals, feces, urine, perspiration, hair, extracts, and/or enzyme digests. Some examples of biological challenges include, but are not limited to: microbials, cells, viruses, bacteria, parasites, and/or other living entities (e.g., fungi known to cause dermatitis, amoeba or protozoa known to cause dysentery or other diseases, mosquitoes or mites known to directly induce a range of responses or indirectly induce a range of responses when they are vectors for the transmission of viruses or
30 parasites).

The methods of the present invention include but are not limited to the embodiments listed below:

1. human skin briefly exposed to ultraviolet radiation and subsequent tape-stripping (physical-physical/primary-secondary challenge) where skin redness and/or TEWL is measured to evaluate the efficacy of a UV-blocking agent applied as a pre-challenge intervention;
2. human skin exposed to trypsin (enzyme) and subsequent tape-stripping (chemical-physical/primary-secondary challenge) where interleukin 1 alpha (IL-1 α) induction and/or TEWL is measured to evaluate the efficacy of an enzyme inhibitor applied as a pre-challenge intervention;
3. human skin exposed to *Candida albicans* (fungus) dosed into SHIME and subsequent tape-stripping (biological(and chemical)-physical/primary-secondary challenge) where visual dermatitis and/or TEWL is measured to evaluate the efficacy of a fungal inhibitor applied as a pre-challenge intervention;
4. human skin exposed to trypsin (enzyme) and subsequent acetone exposure (chemical-chemical/primary-secondary challenge) where visual erythema score and/or TEWL is measured to evaluate the efficacy of an enzyme inhibitor applied as a pre-challenge intervention;
5. bovine eye exposed to cosmetic product(s) and subsequent sodium lauryl sulfate exposure (chemical-chemical/primary-secondary challenge) where interleukin 1 alpha (IL-1 α) is measured to evaluate the irritancy of various cosmetic products;
6. ovarian carcinoma cells exposed to treatment chemical(s) and subsequent exposure to growth factors (chemical-biological(or chemical)/primary-secondary challenge) where cellular division is measured by microscopy.

The specific embodiments of combinations of responsive systems exposed to primary and secondary challenges and then measured for various responses are numerous and a complete listing of such combinations is impractical. It is understood that one of ordinary skill in the art will be able to apply the general method of the present invention to suit his/her needs in a wide variety of applications, given the guidance of the present specification and/or examples.

D. Biological and/or Chemical Primary Challenge/Tape-stripping Secondary Challenge

One preferred embodiment of the present invention, useful in studies aimed at quickly assessing the effect of a biological and/or chemical substance on a responsive system, such as

skin or cell cultures derived therefrom, comprises the steps of: assessing a test site of a responsive system in its pre-challenge condition; subjecting the test site to a primary challenge, wherein the primary challenge comprises a biological and/or chemical challenge; subjecting the test site to a secondary challenge, wherein the secondary challenge comprises the physical challenge of tape-stripping; and assessing the response subsequent to the secondary challenge, preferably at least twice, wherein the first assessment is made soon after the secondary challenge and the second assessment is made after a recovery period. By tape-stripping subsequent to the primary challenge of interest, the response of the responsive system to the primary challenge is enhanced and/or prolonged. Additionally, typically the duration of the application of the primary challenge necessary to provoke a response is substantially reduced.

A preferred embodiment of the invention is a clinical or non-clinical study involving male and/or female subjects of any age during which normal, healthy test sites on each subject are identified, and from which selected challenge sites receive both a primary challenge for a defined period of time and a secondary challenge at a defined period of time after the primary challenge. A variety of appropriate control sites may be established. Some may receive the same primary challenge only (i.e., primary control), or the same secondary challenge only (i.e., secondary control). Others may receive neither a primary nor a secondary challenge (i.e., negative control). Some may receive an appropriate alternative primary challenge (i.e., positive control), which may or may not receive a secondary challenge. The test sites may also receive other types of control interventions as may be required for the interpretation of study results. In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, test sites may be assessed several times to record response(s) that may occur during the study, including, but not limited to the following times: 1) at basal (baseline) conditions prior to the primary challenge, 2) subsequent to the primary challenge, 3) subsequent to the secondary challenge, and 4) subsequent to a recovery period of defined duration after the primary challenge was initiated and subsequent to any secondary challenge.

When this preferred embodiment is used for studies to determine the efficacy of an action, process, substance and/or product (an "intervention") to prevent, induce, reduce or heal an impaired state or disease, the intervention is applied to appropriate test sites before, during, or after the primary or secondary challenge occurs at those test sites. More specifically, the primary and/or secondary challenge may be preceded by one or more pre-challenge or concurrent-challenge interventions in studies to understand the etiology of the impairment or disease and/or determine the efficacy of a preventative measure, or the secondary challenge may be followed by

one or more post-challenge or concurrent-challenge interventions in studies to determine the efficacy of a curative measure.

SPECIFIC ILLUSTRATIONS OF A PREFERRED PROTOCOL UTILIZING THE
PRESENT INVENTION

5 This protocol is designed to study the etiology of diaper rash due to skin exposure to stool. It is understood that amendments to the test protocol may be appropriate to study related objectives. The protocol comprises three visits by subjects to a test facility where the study is conducted. The first visit comprises interviewing subjects for possible inclusion in the study, and providing to those subjects who will potentially participate any applicable training and/or
10 materials needed to initiate participation. The first visit is followed by a wash-out period generally comprised of the potential subjects exposing those areas of their skin that are of interest to standardized conditions intended to help minimize experimental noise by eliminating some confounding prior exposures of those skin sites to variable substances, actions and/or environmental conditions. After the wash-out period, the second visit to the test facility
15 comprises re-evaluation of the potential subjects by investigators for inclusion in the study and, if accepted into the study, skin test sites are identified on the subjects which receive challenges for which assessments are made both before and after those challenges to discern skin responses to the challenges or lack thereof. The third visit to the test facility is comprised of assessments made of the challenged skin of subjects to discern persistence of any skin response or lack
20 thereof.

A more specific description of a preferred protocol follows:

First Visit: Subjects are chosen from among healthy infants aged 1 to 36 months, preferably aged 9 to 27 months, and their healthy biological mothers aged 18 to 50 years, preferably 21 to 35 years. Preferably all potential subjects chosen, both adult and child, meet
25 following inclusion criteria (it is understood that the criteria may change depending upon the study objectives): 1) healthy and evenly-colored skin at all potential test sites with an absence of blemishes, burns, lesions, moles, scars and the like; 2) good general health as determined by having no communicable diseases nor chronic skin or other disorders; 3) neither currently taking nor having taken within two weeks of study initiation any medication(s), either topical or
30 systemic, which in the opinion of the study investigator(s) might influence the skin condition or cause skin rash, such as erythema or dermatitis, or might increase BM frequency (e.g., oral antibiotics, anti-fungal agents, antihistamines, corticosteroids); 4) exhibit no significant hypersensitivity, rash or other abnormal skin reactions or lesions to topical or systemic medications, sunscreens, cosmetics, lotions, creams or fragrances within one year prior to study

initiation; and 5) have adequate rash-free area as evaluated by an expert skin grader (i.e., patch test grade is less than 1.0 on a 0 to 4.0 half-point scale) for test sites on the child's buttocks and on the adult's forearms. All potential infant subjects chosen meet the following criteria : 1) child wears disposable diapers full-time; 2) child has no regular occurrence of diarrhea and no diarrhea at least four days prior to nor during the study; and 3) child is preferably transitioning to or already eating table food, where supplementation with formula is acceptable if it constitutes 50% to 99%, preferably 25% to 49%, most preferably 0% to 24% of the diet by caloric intake and where use of table foods and/or prepared baby and toddler foods such as baby cereals and jarred foods comprise the remainder of the diet. All potential adult subjects chosen meet the following criteria: 1) parent is willing to use standard diapers and standard wipes provided on her child instead of their normal brand(s) of diapers and wipes for the duration of the study; 2) parent agrees to use no lotions, creams, ointments, powders, topical medications, or other skin preparations in the diapered area of her child nor any such substance on her own forearm(s) at least 24 hours prior to the first challenge and through to the end of the study; 3) parent agrees to not bath her child's diapered skin area nor bath her own forearms between the primary and secondary challenge of the study, nor bath any such skin areas during the recovery period; 4) parent agrees not to allow her child to consume nor to consume herself any food or beverage containing caffeine at least four hours before any clinical measurement(s) are made; 5) parent agrees not to use nicotine containing products at least 2 hours before clinical measurement(s) are made; and 6) parent must be willing to have her child's buttocks and her own forearm(s) patched with the child's own stool and subsequently have some skin sites tape-stripped.

It is generally understood that only the infant subjects can be studied or only the adult subjects can be studied by making appropriate changes to this general protocol. For example, if only infant subjects are studied, then adults need only participate to the extent necessary to enable study of their children.

Wash-out period: All subjects that meet inclusion criteria during an initial interview are provided with instructions to begin a wash-out period of 24 to 336 hours (1 to 14 days), preferably 72 to 96 hours (3 to 4 days), during which time the child wears exclusively the standard diapers and the parent uses exclusively the standard wipes to clean the child's diapered skin during all diaper changes. Child and adult subjects typically wear their normal clothing and use their normal bathing practices including those soaps, shampoos and the like normally used. During wash-out subjects are not to apply any lotions, creams, ointments, powders, topical medications, and the like on potential test sites 6 to 168 hours prior, preferably 24 to 48 hours

prior to the second visit to the test facility. In addition, all subjects are to bathe normally all potential test sites (i.e., baby diapered skin and adult forearms) 0.5 to 6 hours prior, preferably 1 to 2 hours prior to the second visit to the test facility. In addition, it is generally understood that the conditions of the wash-out period are defined by the needs of the study design such that modifications to the methods and materials given herein are acceptable provided they are recorded with a rationale.

Second visit: Subjects report to the test facility for their second visit at pre-determined appointments and the following procedures are performed:

1. Subjects are re-evaluated for suitability for patching against the inclusion criteria and for compliance with instructions for the washout period.
2. Typically, four healthy, unblemished, non-erythemic, non-dermatitic, non-overlapping skin sites approximately 5cm by 5cm in size or larger are identified on each infant's buttocks and on one, or both, volar forearm(s) of each mother for use in the study, where the skin sites on each infant are preferably 2 sites located on contralateral (apposing) buttocks and on each mother's forearm are 4 sites located linearly between the wrist and inner elbow. Fewer or additional sites and/or alternative site locations may be chosen as needed to meet the study objectives. The corners of each identified skin site are typically marked using non-toxic, water-soluble marking pens so they can be found during the course of the study.
3. Subjects are sent to a climate-controlled room having a standard temperature (typically 20 to 25°C) and humidity (typically 40% \pm 5% RH). Subjects' skin sites are typically equilibrated to reach their basal (baseline) conditions by completely exposing their skin sites for 20 to 30 minutes. Longer equilibration time may be used if standardized for all subjects, but shorter equilibration time is often insufficient for most subjects' skin to reach basal conditions.
4. After equilibration, assessments are made in the climate-controlled room at each test site to establish basal conditions of the test sites. Typically assessments are made for erythema by an expert skin grader using a patch grade scoring system (0 to 4.0 half-point scale) and for TEWL using a DermaLab® Evaporimeter with TEWL module (Cortex Technology, Hadsund, Denmark) that measures evaporation rate (g water/m²/hr) and computerized software for automated data collection and analyses (cyberDERM, inc., Media, PA). The general procedure for their use is as follows: the evaporimeter probe is applied to each skin site using a standard ring weight that

applies equal pressure to all sites and TEWL data are collected for 60 seconds using automatic data collection by a computer linked to the evaporimeter, and where only the final 40 seconds of data are used to calculate a mean TEWL value. Details of operation for both the evaporimeter and software are available in their respective users manuals, which are incorporated herein by reference. In addition, a clean disposable evaporimeter probe cover is used to measure each site to avoid cross-contamination of sites with any challenges and/or interventions applied.

5. The test sites of each subject are randomly assigned to interventions for any combination of challenges, interventions and control conditions to meet the needs of the study design.
6. Stool is sampled from subjects, preferably from infant subjects, 48 to 168 hours, preferably 2 to 48 hours, more preferably 0.5 to 2 hours prior patching the primary challenge during to the second visit. Stool should be collected generally as soon after defecation as possible, such as within 60 minutes, preferably within 15 minutes. Stool can be sampled in various ways such as directly from the perianal grove of the child, from stool in a diaper that is uncontaminated by the diaper materials, or by any other appropriate means that are convenient and pose no harm to the subject. Stool should be sampled with a sterile implement, such as a wood or plastic cervical scraper or popsicle stick, and placed into sample containers, such as clean glass, polyethylene or polypropylene vials with lids. Stool analog such as a synthetic stool, such as SHIME, which is known to be free of pathogenic species, is a possible substitute primary challenge material. Once sampled, stool or SHIME for patching should preferably be stored at approximately 4°C until needed for preparing patches. Such storage should not exceed 168 hours, preferably not more than 48 hours, more preferably not more than 4 hours prior to patching, most preferably stored and used in patching within 1 hour after defecation.
7. Primary challenge patches are then prepared for subjects. It is preferred that infant subjects are patched with their own stool and parent subjects are patched with their child's stool. The patches are preferably prepared prior to or concurrently with the assignment of skin sites to treatments (although patches could be prepared immediately and stored relative to the guidelines listed above for storing stool). An occlusive square (25.8 cm²) patch is recommended, such as a Hilltop Chamber Systems Patch (a.k.a. Hilltop Chamber Patch, Hilltop Research, Cincinnati, OH)

consisting of a 2.5 cm diameter nonwoven cotton pad (Webril[®]) contained within a plastic chamber (e.g., Hilltop Chamber) covered by and held securely to the skin on all sides with an occlusive, hypoallergenic tape (e.g., Durapore[®]). Non-irritating, hypo-allergenic, occlusive patches made of natural and/or synthetic fibers like as cellulose, rayon or polyolefin may be acceptable alternatives, such as The Former Professional Medical Patch Pad (Kendall Company, Mansfield, MA) square of 14.5 cm² consisting of a nonwoven cotton pad (e.g., Webril[®]) square of 3.6 cm² covered by and held securely to skin on all sides with an occlusive, hypoallergenic tape (e.g., Blenderm[™], 3M Company, St. Paul, MN). Typically patches containing between 0.01g and 1.0g per cm² skin surface, more preferably between 0.25g and 0.35g per cm² skin surface of the stool are sufficient to elicit a desired response. However, it is understood that applying less stool (or less of some other primary challenge) is possible, but this may increase the exposure time necessary to elicit the desired response. Similarly, applying more stool is possible (or more of some other primary challenge) is possible, but this may not be appropriate, practical, economical or pleasant for the subject. Therefore, the amount of stool is not deemed a critical nor limiting aspect of the present invention. The patches may be wetted with between 0.1g and 1.0g of distilled and/or deionized water per cm² skin surface, preferably where the patches may be wetted with between 0.01g and 1.0g of synthetic urine per cm² skin surface, more preferably where the patches may be wetted with between 0.01g and 1.0g of natural urine per cm² skin surface, and most preferably where the patches are unwetted except for liquid associated with the stool applied.

8. Subjects are patched for the primary challenge period for 0.5 to 16 hours, preferably for 1 to 8 hours, more preferably for 3.5 to 4.5 hours, such that the appropriate test sites receive the appropriate randomized interventions assigned in step 5. It is preferred that a standard cleaning method be used prior to applying patches to the skin test sites on child's buttocks and parent's forearm(s), as well as subsequent to removing all patches irrespective of treatment received. A recommended method is to use the standard wipes such that each individual skin test site is wiped 5 times where each time is a single stroke across only that site with the new standard wipe folded in thirds. Once patched, infants may be diapered and all subjects are free to engage in normal activities such as eating, sleeping, playing, etc., provided that the subjects remain at the test facility and avoid strenuous exercise or other activities that might

cause perspiration to dislodge patches. In addition, infant subjects will have their diapers changed whenever soiled with stool as well as routinely during the primary challenge, preferably every hour, to avoid moisture accumulation under the patches.

9. Patches from the primary challenge period are removed and each patch site is cleaned using the same standard cleaning method (see 8 above). Subjects' skin is equilibrated (as in 3 above) and assessments of any responses are made (as in 4 above).

10. While subjects are still in the climate control room a secondary challenge of five successive tape-strippings, using five different tapes, is made on test sites receiving the secondary challenge. Preferably the tape is left on for about 1 minute before removal. Tape stripping is preferably performed with D-Squame tape (Cu-Derm, Dallas, TX), but alternative tapes, such as Blenderm™ (3M Company, St. Paul, MN) or equivalent, or other appropriate adhesive materials may be substituted.

11. Tape-stripped test sites are allowed to re-equilibrate for about the same amount of time among all sites and among all subjects, preferably for 10 ± 2 minutes (not to vary by more than two minutes between sites within and among subjects) and assessments of any responses are made (as in 4 above).

12. Subjects leave the test facility and return the next day, preferably 24 ± 2 hours after the primary challenge was initiated. Subjects should not bath nor apply any substances (see inclusion criteria) to the test sites or neighboring skin until the third visit is complete.

13. During the third visit subjects' skin is equilibrated (as in 3 above) and the final assessments of any responses are made (as in 4 above).

EXAMPLES

The following non-limiting examples provide some specific methods of the present invention:

Example 1

The objective of this Example is to study the etiology of diaper rash as potentially induced by exposure to stool. Both infants' buttocks and their respective biological mothers' volar forearms are studied. The use of the secondary tape-stripping challenge allows for a shorter primary challenge duration (4 hours instead of 24 hours) and/or fewer subjects to establish statistically significant findings than studies having the same objective which do not utilize a

secondary challenge. The most preferred procedures set forth in the protocol listed above are followed with the following exceptions/details:

The washout stage is 3 days long. The standard diapers used are Pampers Baby-Dry (made with non-lotioned topsheet) and the specific wipes used by the parents and for cleansing test sites during the study are Pampers Baby Fresh One-Ups™ Unscented. Stool is sampled from infant subjects within half an hour of defecation and 1-4 hours prior to patching the primary challenge. Once the patches are prepared the stool is refrigerated whenever patching occurs more than 1 hour after stool sampling. For each subject the four sites are designated for reference. Treatment "A" is a challenge site receiving both a primary and secondary challenge. Treatment "B" is a secondary control receiving a secondary challenge only. Treatment "C" is a primary control receiving a primary challenge only. Treatment "D" is a negative control receiving neither a primary nor secondary challenge. During the primary challenge period, sites B and D receive dry patches whereas sites A and C receive patches containing stool. The test sites are subject to the primary challenge for 4 hours.

Results of using this procedure are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. Treatment comparisons were made based on the mean square error from an analysis of variance (ANOVA). With only one minor exception among 40 treatment comparisons made, the following trends occur for both infant buttocks and adult forearm skin:

1. When test sites are assessed after the 4-hour primary patching, stool consistently provokes a significantly greater (but mild) erythemic and TEWL response than do control patches (Treatments A versus B and C versus D are significantly different, but not A versus C).
2. When test sites are assessed after tape-stripping, this secondary challenge has no substantive additional effect in the absence of a prior stool challenge on either erythema score or TEWL, but when a prior stool challenge occurred the tape-stripping has a stronger and consistent directional increased effect on erythema score, but not on TEWL (Treatments A and B comparing post-primary and post-secondary challenge results).
3. When test sites are assessed after the 24-hour recovery period, only the stool plus tape-stripping treatment (A) continues to have a significantly greater (but mild) erythemic and TEWL response than do the other treatments (Treatments A versus B and C versus D and A versus C) whereas skin exposed to all other treatments has returned to very near baseline conditions.

- The test is able to demonstrate the following results, despite the small sample size ($N \leq 16$). The combination of the primary and secondary challenges is the only treatment that provokes both an enhanced and prolonged response as assessed by erythema and TEWL. All other treatments return to very near baseline conditions within 24 hours indicating that those
- 5 treatments cause only transient effects on the skin.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
222

Results of a study based on Example 1

Table 1. Results for Infant Buttocks - Erythema Patch Grade Score

Assessment Periods	N	Treatment A		Treatment B		Treatment C		Treatment D:	
		Stool + Tape-strip		Tape -strip only		Stool only		Neither challenge	
		Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.
		Response	Err.	Response	Err.	Response	Err.	Response	Err.
Baseline	16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Post-primary challenge	16	1.41	0.14	0.63 A	0.16	1.50	0.11	0.59 C	0.13
Post-second challenge	15	1.83	0.14	0.93 A	0.17	ND		ND	
Post-recovery period	14	0.71	0.10	0.57	0.14	0.39 A	0.12	0.39	0.11

- 5 1. Comparisons were made between groups A versus B, C versus D, and A versus C using analysis of variance. Upper case letters indicate unadjusted significance between treatments using ANOVA at 0.05 level.
2. Comparisons were made within treatment between assessment times and baseline using analysis of variance. “*” indicates significance at 0.05 level and “+” indicates significance at 0.10 level.
- 10 3. ND = no data

Table 2. Results for Infant Buttocks - TEWL (g/m²/hr)

Assessment Periods	N	Treatment A		Treatment B		Treatment C		Treatment D:	
		Stool + Tape-strip		Tape -strip only		Stool only		Neither challenge	
		Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.
		Response	Err.	Response	Err.	Response	Err.	Response	Err.
Baseline	16	8.61	1.34	7.78	0.48	8.23	0.65	7.82	0.41
Post-primary challenge	16	17.76	1.48	14.72 A	2.21	20.27	2.34	13.15 C	1.70
Post-second challenge	15	18.58	1.45	12.07 A	1.17	ND		ND	
Post-recovery period	14	14.00	2.13	8.52 A	0.55	8.47 A	0.89	7.60	0.37

Comparisons were made between groups A versus B, C versus D, and A versus C using analysis of variance. Upper case letters indicate unadjusted significance between treatments using ANOVA at 0.05 level.

- 15 ND = no data.

Results of a study based on Example 1 (con't.)

Table 3. Results for Female Adult Forearm - Erythema Patch Grade Score

Assessment Periods	N	Treatment A		Treatment B		Treatment C		Treatment D:	
		Stool + Tape-strip		Tape -strip only		Stool only		Neither challenge	
		Mean Response	Std. Err.	Mean Response	Std. Err.	Mean Response	Std. Err.	Mean Response	Std. Err.
Baseline	16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Post-primary challenge	16	1.06	0.09	0.34 A	0.10	1.13	0.13	0.31 C	0.09
Post-second challenge	16	1.41	0.10	0.53 A	0.10	ND		ND	
Post-recovery period	14	0.61	0.13	0.25 A	0.07	0.14 A	0.06	0.11	0.06

- 5 Comparisons were made between groups A versus B, C versus D, and A versus C using analysis of variance.

Upper case letters indicate unadjusted significance between treatments using ANOVA at 0.05 level.

ND = no data.

- 10 Table 4. Results for Female Adult Forearm - TEWL (g/m²/hr)

Assessment Periods	N	Treatment A		Treatment B		Treatment C		Treatment D:	
		Stool + Tape-strip		Tape -strip only		Stool only		Neither challenge	
		Mean Response	Std. Err.	Mean Response	Std. Err.	Mean Response	Std. Err.	Mean Response	Std. Err.
Baseline	16	6.31	0.42	6.66	0.50	6.46	0.48	6.56	0.62
Post-primary challenge	16	14.04	0.84	8.39 A	0.58	14.50	0.86	7.90 C	0.57
Post-second challenge	16	14.39	1.17	8.68 A	0.67				
Post-recovery period	14	10.54	1.15	7.36 A	0.53	6.80 A	0.48	6.48	0.57

Comparisons were made between groups A versus B, C versus D, and A versus C using analysis of variance.

Upper case letters indicate unadjusted significance between treatments using ANOVA at 0.05 level.

ND = no data.

LABORATORY PATCH TEST GRADING SCALE

- 0.0 No apparent cutaneous involvement.
- 0.5 Greater than 0, less than 1; ex., faint, barely perceptible erythema or slight dryness (glazed appearance).
- 1.0 Faint but definite erythema, no eruptions or broken skin or no erythema but definite dryness; may have epidermal fissuring.
- 1.5 Greater than 1, less than 2; ex., well-defined erythema or faint erythema with definite dryness, may have epidermal fissuring.
- 2.0 Moderate erythema, may have a few papules or deep fissures, moderate-to-severe erythema in the cracks.
- 2.5 Greater than 2, less than 3; ex., moderate erythema with barely perceptible edema or severe erythema not involving a significant portion of the patch (halo effect around the edges), may have a few papules or moderate-to-severe erythema.
- 3.0 Severe erythema (beet redness), may have generalized papules or moderate-to-severe erythema with slight edema (edges well defined by raising).
- 3.5 Greater than 3, less than 4; ex., moderate-to-severe erythema with moderate edema (confined to patch area) or moderate-to-severe erythema with isolated eschar formations or vesicles.
- 4 Generalized vesicles or eschar formations or moderate-to-severe erythema and/or edema extending beyond the area of the patch.

NOTES:

1. The degree of reaction expressed by such descriptive terms as "moderate" and "severe" is, in itself, subjective. Such terminology can be accurately understood only through experience.
2. Any reaction of greater severity than Grade 4 should be described in detail. Unusual reactions not described by the scale should also be described.

30 Example 2

The objective of this Example is to study the efficacy of a preventative intervention for diaper rash induced by exposure to stool. The use of the secondary tape-stripping challenge allows for a shorter primary challenge duration (4 hours instead of 24 hours) and/or fewer

subjects to establish statistically significant findings than studies having the same objective which do not utilize a secondary challenge.

Infants' buttocks and/or their respective biological mothers' volar forearms are studied. Procedures set forth in the protocol listed above are followed with the following exceptions/details:

The study takes the form of a cross-over design. Two groups of subjects are established. Group I receive a pre-challenge intervention of 15% petrolatum ointment applied to all sites 2 hours prior to the primary challenge and Group II serves as the control group receiving no such intervention. After all assessments are made, subjects from both groups enter a second wash-out period identical to the first. Subjects then receive the opposite treatment; i.e., Group I serves as the control group and Group II as the intervention group. The same treatments and assessments are again made on the two groups.

Example 3

The objective of this Example is to study the efficacy of a curative intervention for diaper rash induced by exposure to stool. Infants' buttocks and/or their respective biological mothers' volar forearms are studied. The use of the secondary tape-stripping challenge allows for a shorter primary challenge duration (4 hours instead of 24 hours) and/or fewer subjects to establish statistically significant findings than studies having the same objective which do not utilize a secondary challenge.

The preferred procedures set forth in the protocol listed above are followed with the following exceptions/details:

The study takes the form of a cross-over design. Two groups of subjects are established. Group I receive a post-challenge intervention of ZnO ointment, such as (Desitin®), applied to all sites 15 minutes after post-secondary challenge assessments are made and Group II serves as the control group receiving no such intervention. After all assessments are made subjects from both groups enter a second wash-out period identical to the first. Subjects then receive the opposite treatment; i.e., Group I serves as the control group and Group II the intervention group. The same treatments and assessments are again made on the two groups.

Example 4

The objective of this Example is to compare the efficacy of two preventative interventions for sunburn induced by exposure to UVB radiation. Adult upper backs and/or

upper arms are studied. The use of the secondary tape-stripping challenge allows for a shorter UVB exposure necessary to adequately meet the objectives of the study. Preferred procedures set forth in the protocol listed above are followed with the following exceptions/details:

- The wash-out period is typically 96 hours during which no lotions, creams, ointments, powders, topical medications, or other skin preparations are applied to the subjects' backs and/or upper arms, and those areas are clothed whenever the subjects might be exposed to daylight. The study takes the form of a cross-over design. Two groups of subjects are established, one for each sunscreen. Each group receives a pre-challenge intervention of their respective sunscreens applied to all test sites 1 hour prior to the primary challenge. The primary challenge to two of the four test sites for each subject consists of a three minute exposure to a 1600 watt high pressure xenon arc lamp with a Schott WG1 filter. The secondary challenge is the same five tape-strippings with D-squame tapes to one primary-challenged site and to the secondary control site. After all assessments are made subjects from both groups return two weeks later and enter a second wash-out period identical to the first. Subjects then receive the opposite intervention they receive during the first run. The same treatments and assessments are again made on the two groups.

Example 5

- The objective of this Example is to study the etiology of diaper rash induced by exposure to a surrogate stool, SHIME, compared to a mixture of components thereof containing bile acids (cholic, chenodeoxycholic, deoxycholic, lithocholic) and enzymes (chymotrypsin, trypsin, lipase) on adult upper arms. The use of the secondary tape-stripping challenge allows for a shorter primary challenge duration (4 hours instead of 24 hours) and/or fewer subjects to establish statistically significant findings than studies having the same objective which do not utilize a secondary challenge. The procedures set forth in the protocol listed above are followed with the following exceptions/details:

- Adult subjects are recruited from the general population. Subjects chosen should meet inclusion criteria established for Example 1. Upper arms of adult females aged 18 to 50 are studied after a washout period of seven days during which no applications of lotions, creams, ointments, or other applications have been made. Each upper arm for each subject is assigned randomly to a different treatment group. Group I receives SHIME as the primary challenge and the other receives the component mixture. The primary challenge is applied for four hours using occlusive patches. The secondary challenge is successive tape stripping. In addition to the

clinical assessments (visual irritation, TEWL) made on subjects, sub-samples of SHIME are analyzed to determine differences in their biological and/or chemical composition from those of the bile acids/enzymes mixture, and those analytical results are compared to the clinical assessments from the challenge experiment.

5

Example 6

The objective of this Example is to determine the efficacy of an aloe-containing lotion on treating damaged skin. The Example follows the procedures of Example 5 and therefore provides the same time-saving and reduced subject number advantages via the use of tape-stripping. The Example employs an additional post-challenge intervention subsequent to the final tape-stripping comprising the application of an aloe-containing lotion to some of the test sites. Those test sites not receiving the lotion are controls. Following a recovery period of 3 hours, all test sites are assessed (visual irritation, TEWL).

Example 7

The objective of this Example is to enhance detection of a delayed hypersensitivity response by a substance of low reactivity; i.e., one that elicits a weak or infrequent sensitization response among the general population. Such studies are necessary to evaluate such substances for use in formulations of products that can contact the skin of consumers. The primary challenge to skin comprises multiple exposures to a chemical (e.g., parabens or derivatives thereof) suspected to invoke skin sensitization. The chemical secondary challenge enhances the primary response sufficiently to reduce the number of subjects needed to meet the objectives of the study; i.e., identify subjects who elicit a sensitization response that would otherwise be missed by current methods unless.

Adult subjects are recruited from the general population. Subjects chosen should 1) be in general good health as determined as having no communicable disease, asthma, nor chronic skin or other disorders, 2) have no skin lesions, rash, scars or other skin abnormalities within the skin test site area, 3) not be receiving immunosuppressive drugs, allergy injections or other medications that in the Investigator's judgment might confound the subjects response to the challenge, and 4) not have confirmed skin sensitization as result of participation in a prior dermal clinical study.

The primary challenge is applied to the skin and covered with an occlusive patch. Challenges can be made using semi-occlusive or semi-open dermatological patches, or as on open

application without a patch depending on the nature of the primary chemical challenge and expected usage scenario. Patches are applied to the lateral surface of the upper arm and each site is marked so that the repeat primary challenge is applied to the same location.

The primary challenge is applied every Monday, Wednesday and Friday for three consecutive weeks, with each primary challenge/patch worn for 24 hours. Following three weeks of primary challenge the subjects are given a two week rest period where no challenges are given followed by a final primary challenge for 24 hours to the same skin test site on the subject. Upon completion of the final primary challenge a standardized secondary challenge of acetone (neat) is swabbed onto the test sites. The skin is then assessed immediately and daily thereafter for four days for delayed contact hypersensitivity using visual scoring.

Example 8

The objective of this Example is to study the ability of a low to moderate abrasive material to elicit skin irritation. Adult volar forearm is exposed to a physical primary challenge with multiple exposures. The same conditions of recruitment and patch procedures from Example 7 apply. The primary challenge, for example, rubbing a non-woven fabric in a circular motion, is applied four times daily for one minute each time and repeated for four days. Upon completion of the last primary challenge a neat acetone secondary challenge is applied by swabbing the test site(s). The skin is assessed for an irritation response one hour post secondary challenge and once per day during the next 48 hours. The use of the secondary challenge enhances the response sufficiently to adequately meet the objectives of the study with a reduced number of subjects compared to current methods.

Example 9

The objective of this Example is to evaluate the efficacy of a concurrent intervention to prevent an irritation response in human infant and/or adult diapered skin exposed to multiple physical (e.g., humidity, material abrasion), chemical (e.g., surfactant), and/or biological-chemical (e.g., stool) primary challenges. The use of the secondary challenge involving heat allows for an enhanced response sufficiently to meet the objectives of the study.

Infant and/or adults that normally wear disposable or cloth diapers would be eligible for this study provided they meet the inclusion criteria in Example 7. Subjects participate in a wash-out period of 4 days, though as few as one and as many as 21 days may be required depending on the nature of the study. The washout period is similar to that specified in Example 1. Following

the wash-out period subjects are given a baseline evaluation for skin condition by visual grading.

Subjects are randomized into two groups, each receiving the primary challenge nearly continuously, with the exception of diaper changes and bathing, for four consecutive days comprising exposure to multiple primary challenges that occur naturally in the diaper, such as urine, feces, occlusion, material abrasion, cleaning, etc. One group continues to wear the control diaper during the primary challenge period and the other group wears a diaper containing a petrolatum-based ointment which is applied to the skin during normal wear. In this Example the petrolatum-based ointment is included as a concurrent intervention.

At the end of the primary challenge phase all subjects are exposed to a secondary challenge, heat. Subjects stay in a controlled temperature room of high heat ($85 \pm 5^\circ\text{F}$) and humidity ($85 \pm 5\%$ RH) for 60 minutes. Following the secondary challenge the subjects' skin is assessed immediately, after one hour, and daily thereafter over 48 hours by visual grading.

Example 10

This example is essentially identical to Example 9 and includes a second secondary challenge and optional assessment techniques. The objectives and procedures are the same throughout with the following exceptions.

After the secondary challenge and post-secondary challenge assessment (both immediate and one hour thereafter), a second secondary challenge of tape-stripping is applied. The tape strips are immediately frozen (-70°C) for later analyses of interleukin 1 alpha (IL-1 α). Test sites are visually assessed after 10 minutes post-secondary challenge and daily thereafter for 48 hours.

WHAT IS CLAIMED

1. A method comprising the steps of:
 - a) subjecting a test site of a responsive system to a primary challenge;
 - b) subjecting the test site to a secondary challenge, wherein the secondary challenge differs from the primary challenge and wherein the secondary challenge is designed to enhance, and/or prolong a response of the responsive system to the primary challenge; and
 - c) assessing the response.
2. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the secondary challenge comprises a chemical challenge.
3. The method according to Claim 2 wherein the primary challenge is selected from the group consisting of physical challenges, chemical challenges, and biological challenges.
4. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the primary challenge comprises a physical challenge, and the secondary challenge comprises a physical challenge.
5. The method according to Claim 1 further comprising the steps of subjecting the test site to at least one pre-challenge intervention.
6. The method according to Claim 1 further comprising the steps of subjecting the test site to at least one intervention selected from the group consisting of concurrent-challenge intervention, post-challenge intervention, and mixtures thereof.
7. The method according to Claim 1 wherein assessing the response comprises a visual and/or TEWL measurement.
8. A study comprising the steps of:
 - a) applying a primary challenge to a test site of a responsive system; applying a secondary challenge to the test site, wherein the secondary challenge differs from the primary challenge and wherein the secondary challenge is designed to enhance and/or prolong a response of the responsive system to the primary challenge; and

- b) creating one or more controls selected from the group consisting of negative controls, primary controls, secondary controls, positive controls, and mixtures thereof.
9. The study according to Claim 8 comprising the additional steps of assessing a primary challenge response subsequent to the primary challenge and prior to the secondary challenge; assessing one or more secondary challenge responses one or more times after the secondary challenge; and assessing one or more control responses to the controls at the same approximate times as the primary challenge assessment and secondary challenge assessments are made; and drawing conclusions based upon the assessments.
10. The study according to Claim 8 comprising at least one additional step comprising subjecting the test site and/or one or more controls to at least one pre-challenge intervention.
11. The study according to Claim 8 comprising at least one additional step selected from the group consisting of subjecting the test site and/or one or more controls to at least one concurrent-challenge intervention, and/or subjecting the test site and/or the one or more controls to at least one post-challenge intervention.
12. A method comprising the steps of:
- a) subjecting a test site of a responsive system to a primary challenge, wherein the primary challenge comprises a chemical and/or biological challenge; and
 - b) subjecting the test site to a secondary challenge, wherein the secondary challenge comprises a physical challenge and wherein the secondary challenge is designed to enhance and/or prolong a response of the responsive system to the primary challenge; and
 - c) assessing the response.
13. A method according to Claim 12 wherein the secondary challenge is tape-stripping.
14. The method according to Claim 12 wherein the primary challenge comprises more than one component and/or step.

15. The method according to Claim 12 wherein the chemical and/or biological challenge is applied to the test site via a patch.
16. The method according to Claim 15 wherein the test site is skin and/or cell cultures derived therefrom.
17. The method according to Claim 16 wherein the chemical and/or biological challenge comprises applying stool and/or stool analog.
18. The method according to Claim 17 wherein the test site is subject to the primary challenge for up to about 24 hours.
19. A clinical study designed to assess affects of chemicals on preventing and/or treating diaper rash comprising the method according to Claim 16.
20. The clinical study of Claim 17 wherein the test site is an adult's forearm and the primary challenge is stool.

ABSTRACT

The present invention relates to a method comprising subjecting a test site of a responsive system to a primary challenge; subjecting the same test site to a secondary challenge, wherein the secondary challenge is designed to enhance and/or prolong a response of the responsive system to the primary challenge, without confounding the response nor altering the mechanism by which the primary challenge elicits a response from the responsive system; and assessing the response subsequent to the secondary challenge. The methods claimed herein also preferably comprise the additional step(s) of subjecting test site(s) to one or more pre-challenge intervention(s) and/or post-challenge intervention(s) and/or concurrent-challenge intervention(s). The methods of the present invention are also useful in a study design, also claimed herein, wherein the study comprises the steps of the method outlined above in addition to comprising the steps of creating one or more controls selected from the group consisting of negative controls, primary controls, secondary controls, positive controls, and mixtures thereof. The use of the novel methods described herein often significantly shorten the duration of and/or number of subjects needed for studies aimed at understanding the etiology of a disease and/or the ability of an intervention or challenge to prevent, cure, heal, and/or reduce and/or induce damage or injury to a responsive system.